

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

A	
/	٦

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,627	09/15/2003	Astrid Elbe	S&ZIO020104	9750
7590 09/15/2005			EXAMINER	
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A.		MAI, T	MAI, TAN V	
POST OFFICE	E BOX 2480			
HOLLYWOO!	D, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	<del>-</del>

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7		7					
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/662,627	ELBE ET AL.				
Office Act	ion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC D	ATT of this commission and	Tan V. Mai	2193				
Period for Reply	AIE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	•	•					
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on <u>1/24/</u>	<u>/05, 11/14/03</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FI	NAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			. "				
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s)							
Application Papers	•						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119	•					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
	ratent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/662,627 Page 2

Art Unit: 2193

1. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 7, the term "may have" (penultimate line) is indefinite.

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims recite a method for performing a mathematical function. The claimed invention comprises a plurality of mental steps whereby the claimed mental steps are non-statutory subject matter. Specifically, the claimed method steps can be practiced mentally in conjunction with pen and paper.

However, in order for such a claimed computer-related process to be statutory, the method claims must include either a step that results: (1) in a physical transformation outside the computer, (2) in a limitation to a practical application, or (3) performed specific machine/element(s). Accordingly, claims 1-9 are clearly directed to a non-statutory process.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,627

Art Unit: 2193

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sedlak (Applicants' admission Prior Art) in view of Walter (Applicants' admission Prior Art, "Faster Modular...).

Sedlak discloses, e.g., see Fig. 6(b) or Applicants' Fig. 9 (Prior Art), all the claimed invention except the "transforming the modulus into a transformed modulus that is greater than the modulus"; however, the feature is old and well known in the art. For example, Walter discloses a "fast modular multiplication by operand scaling", see page 316, section "3 Scaling the Modulus", first TWO paragraphs. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Walter's "operand scaling" in Sedlak, thereby making the claimed invention, because the proposed device is a modular multiplication having the "transforming the modulus into a transformed modulus that is greater than the modulus" as claimed.

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references is art of interest.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3726. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed and Fri. from 9:30am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is:

'Official

(571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,627

Art Unit: 2193

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Tan V. Mai Primary Examiner